IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

	,	1
ECOLAB INC. and ECOLAB	USA INC.,)
	Plaintiffs,) C.A. No. 21-567-RGA
v.	;))
DUBOIS CHEMICALS, INC.	,)
	Defendant.))
)

FINAL VERDICT FORM

Instructions: When answering the questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Please refer to the Jury Instructions for guidance on the law applicable to the subject matter covered by each question.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions as our verdict in this case:

BREACH OF CONTRACT

1. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that DuBois has breached the Settlement Agreement?

Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for Ecolab. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for DuBois.

Yes__________No______

If you answered "Yes" above, please proceed to Question 2. If you answered "No" above, please skip Question 2 and proceed to Question 3.

DAMAGES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT

2. What are Ecolab's damages for DuBois' breach of the Settlement Agreement?

\$ 2,000,000.00

Please proceed to Question 3.

DIRECT INFRINGEMENT

3. Has Ecolab proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DuBois directly infringed the following Asserted Claims?

> Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for Ecolab. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for DuBois.

'257 Patent, Claim 41:

Yes

'381 Patent, Claim 5:

Yes

'381 Patent, Claim 7:

Yes

'215 Patent, Claim 1: Yes

'215 Patent, Claim 16:

Yes

Regardless of whether you answered "Yes" or "No" as to infringement of any of the Asserted Claims listed above in Question 3, please proceed to Question 4.

INDIRECT INFRINGEMENT BY INDUCEMENT

Has Ecolab proven by a preponderance of the evidence that DuBois indirectly infringed by inducement the following Asserted Claims?

> Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for Ecolab. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for DuBois.

'257 Patent, Claim 41:

Yes

No

'381 Patent, Claim 5:

Yes

No

'381 Patent, Claim 7:

Yes

	'215 Patent, Claim	1:	Yes	No_			
					/		
	'215 Patent, Claim	16:	Yes	No_	<u> </u>		
If you answer proceed to Qu	ed either Question 3 destion 5.	or 4 above	with an "Yes" to	at least on	e Asserted Cl	aim, please	
	ed "No" to all Asse o reading and signin				e, please skip	Question 5	
WILLFUL I	NFRINGEMENT						
5. Do yo	u find by a preponde	erance of th	ne evidence that D	OuBois' inf	ringement wa	s willful?	
Checking "Yes" below indicates a finding for Ecolab. Checking "No" below indicates a finding for DuBois.							
	Yes	No	***************************************				
,			•				
it accurately reverdict form in	ave reached the end effects your unaniment the space below as oreperson should reach the jury.	ous decisiond notify th	n. The Forepers ne Court Security	o n should t Officer tha	then sign and it you have re	date the ached a	
For the Jury:							
///3/23 Dated	3	Foreper	rson				